

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,887	12/02/2003	Duncan E. Akporiaye	108495	3394
23490	7590	EXAMINER		
HONEYWELL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INC PATENT SERVICES 101 COLUMBIA DRIVE P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962			HANDY, DWAYNE K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	•	10/725,887	AKPORIAYE, DUNCAN E.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dwayne K. Handy	1743		
Deviced	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
Period fo	• •				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>une 2007</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	·		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4) 	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
. 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany		by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	promy arraer or every,	.,,(2) (3) (1).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
. * 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.		
	•				
Attachmen	ut(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application		
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_ ·		

Application/Control Number: 10/725,887

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guan et al. (6,149,882) in view of Turner et al. (6,306,658). Guan teaches an apparatus for synthesizing and analyzing a plurality of compounds in parallel. The system is best shown in Figure 2. The system includes a plurality of feed lines (18), a plurality of treatment zones (reactors #12), a plurality of heating elements (see claims 26 and 27), and a plurality of effluent lines (20) that lead to a selection valve (94). The selection valve feeds fluid to a detector (column 7, lines 32-53). The system further includes a fluid mixing unit (22) comprising test fluid sources (52) having flow controllers (54) and valves (56) that connect to single feed line (60), and a diluent source (inert fluid source

Application/Control Number: 10/725,887

Art Unit: 1743

line #70). Given that both the feed line and diluent line flow into the reactors and mix, the Examiner considers the reactors to also be "mixing zones" as required in claim 6. The Examiner considers the reaction block shown in Figure 8 and described in columns 9 and 10 to be a heated enclosure since the block contains the reactors – which are heated. Instant claims 2 and 3 recite six treatment zones (claim 2) with each treatment zone having 8 chambers (claim 3). Given that the claims do not recite physical structures for these zones, the Examiner submits that a group of 48 vessels (claim 48) may be grouped by the operator into 6 subarrays of 8 reactors each – with each subarrray comprising a treatment zone of 8 chambers as required by the claims. Guan does not teach a plurality of heating elements wherein each heating element heats the material in a corresponding single chamber of a plurality of chambers.

Turner teaches a parallel reaction system. The system includes control elements that control the pressure and temperature of each reactor individually (See Figure 6 and columns 10, lines 42-67). It would have been obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art to combine the individual heating and control elements from Turner with the device of Guan. One would add the heating elements to each vessel to provide independent control of each vessel as suggested by Turner.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's submission of a Terminal Disclaimer (dated 6/7/07) is sufficient to overcome the previous Double Patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,887 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

4. Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the limitation of "a plurality of heating elements where in each heating element heats the material in a corresponding single chamber". This is sufficient to overcome the previous 102 rejection under Guan. Guan does not teach this feature. The Examiner has now provided a new rejection involving Guan in view of Turner in response to Applicant's amending of the claim.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,887

Art Unit: 1743

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DKH September 2, 2007

/Jill Warden Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Page 5